

ELECTION EDUCATION



2023 YEAR IN REVIEW RESOURCE BOOKLET

TELEGRAM CHANNEL: [T.ME/ELECTIONEDUCATION](https://t.me/electioneducation)



TABLE OF CONTENTS

1	ID AND AUTHENTICATION.....	4
2	HOW AN ELECTION CAN BE STOLEN WITHOUT POLL WORKER'S KNOWLEDGE	5
3	5 REASONS VOTING BY MAIL WILL NEVER BE SECURE	6
4	MACHINE VULNERABILITIES.....	7
5	ELECTIONS BY MAIL.....	8
6	FLIPPING VOTES	9
7	STEALING VOTES.....	10
8	RISK LIMITING AUDITS.....	11
9	RANKED CHOICE VOTING.....	12
10	RANKED CHOICE VOTING 2	13
11	FEDERAL ELECTION LAW	14
12	ALBERT SENSORS.....	15
13	ONE DAY ELECTIONS.....	16
14	THE DANGEROUS JOURNEY OF THE MAIL IN BALLOT.....	17
15	LOGIC AND ACCURACY TEST	18
16	PRECINCT COUNT VS CENTRAL COUNT	19
17	TIMING MARKS.....	20
18	VOTER FRAUD VS ELECTION FRAUD.....	21
19	BALLOT SCAVENGER HUNT	22
20	WHAT IS A GHOST VOTER?	23
21	LATE BALLOT ADJUDICATION.....	24
22	AVOIDING TRANSPARENCY EXAMPLE.....	25
23	POWER OF THE PRIMARY.....	26
24	DON'T IGNORE THE PRIMARY	27
25	ELECTION FRAUD ROADMAP	28
26	VIOLATIONS OF THE LAW	29
27	THE MANIPULATION CYCLE OF ELECTION LAW	30
28	RUNBECK.....	31
29	ELECTION INTERFERENCE VS ELECTION FRAUD	32
30	ELECTIONS ARE ALREADY ACCESSIBLE	33
31	ELECTION CONCERNS CENSORED BY AI.....	34
32	FIRST AMENDMENT DOESN'T APPLY TO ELECTION INFORMATION.....	35
33	WASHINGTON'S MACHINE RECOUNT ILLUSION	36
34	DIRTY VOTER ROLLS	37
35	HOW HARD IS IT TO STEAL AN ELECTION?.....	38
36	TEN REASONS PEOPLE CLAIM THERE IS NO ELECTION FRAUD.....	39
37	ADMITTING ELECTIONS ARE BROKEN IS THE FIRST STEP TOWARDS RECOVERY	40



VIDEOS:

[THE DANGEROUS JOURNEY OF THE MAIL IN BALLOT](#)

[5 WAYS VOTES CAN BE FLIPPED](#)

[BALLOT DEFINITION FILES AND BALLOT STYLE ERRORS](#)

[WHO CAN STEAL VOTES AND HOW](#)

HOW TO AUDIT AN ELECTION THROUGH PUBLIC RECORDS REQUESTS

[PART 1 - OVERVIEW](#)

[PART 2 - VOTER REGISTRATION](#)

[PART 3 - BALLOT CHAIN OF CUSTODY](#)

[PART 4 - EVENT LOGS](#)

CENSORSHIP OF ELECTION INFORMATION

[THE TALE OF HOW THE AMERICAN PEOPLE LOST THEIR FREEDOM OF SPEECH](#)

[ARTIFICIAL INTELLIGENCE CENSORSHIP FUNDED BY TAXPAYER DOLLARS](#)

["MISINFORMATION" MANAGEMENT BY LOGICALLY - RAN BY FORMER DHS EMPLOYEE BRIAN MURPHY](#)

KING COUNTY WA

[KING CO BALLOT PROCESSING](#)

[2022 KING COUNTY ELECTION RECORD REVIEW \(REPLAY OF EVENTS ACCORDING TO THE LOGS\)](#)

[KING CO SERVER SWITCH 2023 PRIMARY](#)

[FOR NEW VIDEOS, VISIT OUR RUMBLE CHANNEL](#)



Voter Authentication

Not required when voting by mail



Vital data requires multiple layers of protection. Access is not granted without multiple-factor authentication.

The validity of each ballot is vital to ensuring the results are accurate and authentic.

Ballots returned by mail or drop box are not observable and lack the ability to prove a voter's identity or eligibility.



EXAMPLE

When granting authority to act on an individual's behalf, you provide an ID and sign 'in-person' but when electing someone to act on behalf of the public, if doing so by mail, there is a different standard.

Multi-Factor Authentication (MFA)



Multi-Factor

In Person Voting:



Identification (Something you have)

Confirm address (Something you know)

Physical presence (Something you are)

Not Authenticated

By Mail:



Handwriting comparison

OR provide identification

(Some states require no authentication)

How can we be sure this authority has been legally granted?




2 HOW AN ELECTION CAN BE STOLEN WITHOUT POLL WORKER'S KNOWLEDGE



HOW AN ELECTION CAN BE STOLEN WITHOUT POLL WORKER'S KNOWLEDGE


ELECTION FRAUD DOES NOT REQUIRE THE ASSISTANCE OF ELECTION STAFF. POLL WORKERS CAN DO EVERYTHING RIGHT AND STILL HAVE THE ELECTION STOLEN FROM THEM DURING THEIR WATCH. THERE ARE PEOPLE IN THIS WORLD WHO WILL STOP AT NOTHING TO GAIN OR MAINTAIN POWER.



POLL WORKERS ARE HARD WORKING PEOPLE. THEY ARE JUST DOING WHAT THEY ARE TOLD AND THEY DO IT TO THE BEST OF THEIR ABILITY. SOMETIMES THEY GET UPSET WHEN PEOPLE DON'T TRUST THE ELECTIONS, BECAUSE THEY WORK HARD AND GO BY THE BOOK. THEY TAKE PRIDE IN THEIR WORK. THE PROBLEM IS THE SYSTEM IS CURRENTLY FLAWED. THE SOONER THIS IS EXPOSED, THE SOONER WE (ELECTION OFFICIALS AND VOTERS) CAN FIND A SOLUTION TOGETHER.



3 5 REASONS VOTING BY MAIL WILL NEVER BE SECURE



MACHINE MANIPULATION POSSIBILITIES

1

Malicious Code

Machine vendors can program the machines with the ability to flip votes from one candidate to another. Many machines are already capable of overriding a voter's selection and recording a vote for someone the voter did not intend to vote for. This can be done through controlling races. The vendors could also program fractional voting that doesn't show up until a certain number of ballots is counted, to avoid detection in pre or post election system tests.

2

Remote Access

Machines may allow for remote access for troubleshooting, or nefarious purposes. This could be used to flip votes, adjudicate ballots, delete votes, insert votes, and other forms of manipulation from a distance. Without the source code, we don't know if the machines are capable of these things.

3

Manipulating System Software

Using a number of methods, a bad actor could install software on the system which would alter the way the machine counts. Could be downloaded from a thumb drive, over the internet, or another connection. This could be done on a central tabulation system and alter the entire election, or on a particular location to disenfranchise voters of a particular party.

4

Intentional Incorrect Setup of Elections


When someone is setting up a new election, they could manipulate the ballot definition file. This could cause votes to be counted for the wrong candidates, omit certain parts of the ballot from the locations the scanner is looking for marks, and override voters' selections. Voting centers can be set up to not read ballots the same as other locations.

5

Adjudication

Adjudication allows for voter's selections to be altered. Systems using hand marked paper ballots have a large number of ballots sent for resolution. Election workers can change votes both honestly and nefariously. There is the possibility that the system could secretly adjudicate ballots without the knowledge of the election staff. Evidence of this was discovered in Mesa County Colorado.

5 ELECTIONS BY MAIL



FLIPPING VOTES WHAT IT MEANS AND HOW IT WORKS


5 WAYS VOTES CAN BE FLIPPED

1	BALLOT DEFINITION FILE	DETERMINES WHO THE VOTE IS CREDITED TO	THESE BDF FILES ARE NOT AUDITED OR EXAMINED	L&A TEST IS THE ONLY VERIFICATION OF IT'S ACCURACY	IT CAN CREDIT VOTES TO THE WRONG CANDIDATE	GENERALLY WRITTEN OFF AS HUMAN "ERROR"
2	MANIPULATE THE BALLOT IMAGES	MOVE THE VOTER'S MARK TO ANOTHER LOCATION ON THE BALLOT IMAGE	VOTE COUNTED FOR WRONG CANDIDATE(S)	WOULD NOT SLOW DOWN THE PROCESSING OF BALLOTS	EASY TO DO. IT HAS BEEN DONE BEFORE	UNDETECTED IN RECOUNTS OF AUDITS USING IMAGES ONLY
3	CONTROLLING RACES	ONE SELECTION DETERMINES SELECTIONS FOR OTHER RACES	MAY BE UNKNOWN BY THE VOTER	COULD GO UNDETECTED BY ELECTION STAFF	ALREADY A FEATURE OF MANY MACHINES IN USE TODAY	OVERRIDE VOTER'S SELECTIONS
4	AUTOMATIC ADJUDICATION	SOFTWARE READS AND INTERPRETS BALLOT IMAGES	SETTINGS DETERMINE WHAT VOTER MARKS ARE COUNTED AS A VOTE	SENSITIVITY VARIATIONS COULD FAVOR ONE CANDIDATE	NOT PROGRAMMED TO DETECT MARKS FOR SOME CANDIDATES	DISREGARD LIGHT MARKS IN ONE AREA WHILE COUNTING THEM ELSEWHERE
5	MANUAL ADJUDICATION	BALLOTS THAT THE SOFTWARE CANNOT INTERPRET	BALLOT IMAGE FLAGGED FOR HUMAN REVIEW	VOTER INTENT DECIDED BY ELECTION WORKER	COULD RECORD VOTES WHICH CONTRADICT VOTER'S CHOICES	FREQUENT WITH HAND-MARKED PAPER BALLOTS AND MAIL-IN

VOTE FLIPPING : RECORDING VOTES THAT CONTRADICT WHAT THE VOTER SELECTED



7 STEALING VOTES



Ranked Choice Voting is Not Fair

Simplest explanation: some people get more than one vote.



Steve voted for candidate A

Sarah voted for candidate B

Lee voted for candidate C

Kim voted for candidate D

After first round of counting, candidate C has the least votes and is eliminated. No candidate has a majority vote.

Vote is still good.

Vote is still good.

Vote transferred to second choice (A)

Vote is still good.

Vote total recalculated and candidate A has the least votes and is eliminated

Vote transferred to second choice (B)

Vote is still good.

Vote transferred to third choice (B)

Vote is still good.

Totals recalculated and candidate B is determined to be the winner.

Total votes = 2


Total votes = 1

Total votes = 3

Total votes = 1

One person, one vote.





RANKED CHOICE VOTING

HOW IT WORKS:

INSTEAD OF VOTERS SELECTING THEIR FAVORITE CANDIDATE, THEY RANK ALL CANDIDATES IN THE ORDER THEY WANT TO VOTE FOR THEM.

	1	2	3	4	5
CANDIDATE A	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input checked="" type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
CANDIDATE B	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input checked="" type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
CANDIDATE C	<input type="radio"/>	<input checked="" type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
CANDIDATE D	<input checked="" type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>

Candidate A 27%

Candidate B 39%

Candidate C 22%

Candidate D 12%

IN THIS CASE, THE FIRST CHOICE, CANDIDATE D, CAME IN WITH THE LEAST TOTAL VOTES AFTER ROUND 1.

CANDIDATE D IS DROPPED FROM THE RACE, AND THE BALLOTS OF THOSE WHO VOTED FOR CANDIDATE D WILL BE LOOKED AT AGAIN, AND THE VOTES DISTRIBUTED TO THEIR SECOND PLACE SELECTION.

IN THIS EXAMPLE, THE SECOND CHOICE WAS CANDIDATE C

	1	2	3	4	5
CANDIDATE A	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input checked="" type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
CANDIDATE B	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input checked="" type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
CANDIDATE C	<input type="radio"/>	<input checked="" type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
CANDIDATE D	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>

Candidate A 27%

Candidate B 45%

Candidate C 24%

Candidate D

AFTER ROUND 2, CANDIDATE C HAS THE LEAST VOTES, SO THE VOTES FOR CANDIDATE C WILL BE DISTRIBUTED AGAIN AMONGST THE REMAINING CANDIDATES DEPENDING ON THE VOTER'S SECOND OR THIRD CHOICE.

VOTERS WHO VOTED FOR CANDIDATE C OR D HAVE NOW HAD THEIR VOTE COUNTED MULTIPLE TIMES, WHILE PEOPLE VOTING FOR CANDIDATE A OR B ONLY HAD ONE VOTE COUNTED.

	1	2	3	4	5
CANDIDATE A	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input checked="" type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
CANDIDATE B	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input checked="" type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
CANDIDATE C	<input type="radio"/>	<input checked="" type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
CANDIDATE D	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>

Candidate A 42%

Candidate B 58%

Candidate C

Candidate D

NOW WE ARE DOWN TO 2 CANDIDATES, WITH THE VOTES FROM CANDIDATE C AND D DISTRIBUTED TO THE REMAINING CANDIDATES. TOTALS ARE TALLIED AGAIN, AND CANDIDATE B IS THE WINNER.

THE VOTER IN THIS EXAMPLE CAST 3 VOTES, WHILE PEOPLE WHO PICKED CANDIDATE A OR B ONLY GOT ONE VOTE.

FAQ:

ARE VOTERS REQUIRED TO VOTE FOR ALL CANDIDATES?
NO, VOTERS CAN SELECT ONE OR ALL CANDIDATES IN THE ORDER THEY PREFER.

WHAT HAPPENS IF YOU ONLY VOTE FOR YOUR TOP CHOICE?
IF YOU ONLY SELECT YOUR FIRST CHOICE, AND THAT CANDIDATE IS ELIMINATED FROM THE RACE, YOUR BALLOT IS "SPOILED" AND YOUR SAY IN THE MATTER IS OVER IF THERE IS NO SECOND PLACE CHOICE SELECTED.

WHAT CHANGES NEED TO BE MADE TO THE VOTING SYSTEM?
MANY VOTING SYSTEMS ALREADY HAVE THIS FEATURE BUILT IN. THOSE THAT DON'T WILL NEED TO HAVE A DIFFERENT TABULATION SOFTWARE INSTALLED IN ORDER TO COUNT THE VOTES IN THIS MANNER.

WHY DO SOME VOTERS GET MORE THAN ONE VOTE, WHILE OTHERS ONLY GET ONE?
BECAUSE IT IS NOT A FAIR WAY TO VOTE OR TO COUNT. VOTERS WHO VOTE FOR A LOSING CANDIDATE GET MULTIPLE VOTES WHILE VOTERS VOTING FOR A CANDIDATE IN THE TOP TWO ONLY GET ONE OF THEIR VOTES COUNTED.

NOT ONLY IS THIS METHOD OF VOTING NOT A FAIR WAY TO COUNT, BUT IT ALSO INTRODUCES MORE RISK FOR FRAUD AND/OR MANIPULATION.

WHEN VOTES ARE TRANSFERRED FROM A LOSING CANDIDATE TO THE VOTER'S NEXT CHOICE, IT'S DONE WITHIN THE MACHINE.

IF THE MACHINES ARE PROGRAMMED TO GIVE VOTES TO OTHER CANDIDATES, WHAT ELSE ELSE DO YOU THINK IT CAN DO?

OVERRIDE YOUR SELECTION? OF COURSE!


THIS WILL ALLOW MORE OPPORTUNITIES TO HIDE MALICIOUS CODE WITHIN THE PROGRAM.

WITHOUT ACCESS TO THE CODE, CITIZENS CANNOT KNOW FOR SURE WHAT THE MACHINE IS CAPABLE OF AND IT DOES NOT ALLOW FOR A TRANSPARENT TABULATION PROCESS.


THIS METHOD IS A MORE COMPLICATED SYSTEM, AND REQUIRES THAT VOTERS ARE WELL EDUCATED ON HOW THE COUNTING HAPPENS.

THE VOTING PROCESS AND TABULATION PROCESS NEED TO BE EASY TO UNDERSTAND FOR EVERYONE, IT'S OVER-COMPLICATED ALREADY.






ALBERT SENSORS



ALBERT SENSORS MONITOR TRAFFIC TO AND FROM THE NETWORK. THE DATA IS MONITORED BY THE CENTER FOR INTERNET SECURITY (CIS) REMOTELY. SOME STATES, LIKE WASHINGTON, HAVE PRESSURED THE COUNTIES INTO INSTALLING THESE MONITORING SYSTEMS ON THEIR COUNTY NETWORK. COUNTIES ARE UNABLE TO SEE OR MONITOR THE ALBERT DASHBOARD. THEY MUST ALSO ALERT CIS IF THEY INTEND TO MAKE ANY CHANGES TO THEIR OWN NETWORK 30 DAYS IN ADVANCE.




AFTER INSTALLED, COUNTIES ARE CONTRACTUALLY RESTRICTED FROM MANAGING THEIR NETWORKS WITHOUT DISCUSSING IT FIRST WITH CIS

CIS AND THE SOS SAY IT WILL MAKE OUR ELECTIONS SAFER.


THE MILLION DOLLAR QUESTION ABOUT THAT:

IF THIS SYSTEM IS 100% INTERNET BASED, HOW WILL IT PROTECT AN ELECTION SYSTEM WHICH IS NOT CONNECTED TO THE INTERNET?

The basic life cycle of an Albert event



- AFFECTED IP ADDRESSES
- IDENTIFIED ISSUES
- MITIGATION RECOMMENDATIONS
- DETAILS OF RELATED TRAFFIC



Albert sensors monitor the county's network, not the election systems. Aside from the many risks and inconveniences that come with installing the sensors, it may have some benefit for the county network. It does nothing for the election system, unless they are ready to admit the election systems are connected to the internet.






**REASONS ELECTIONS
SHOULD NOT LAST
LONGER THAN
ONE
DAY**

- UNNECESSARY
- MORE EXPENSIVE
- LOSS OF OVERSIGHT
- INADEQUATE SECURITY
- LACKING TRANSPARENCY
- PUBLIC LOSES CONFIDENCE
- LATE BALLOTS CAN BE ADDED
- LOTS OF TIME FOR MANIPULATION
- DISCOURAGES PUBLIC PARTICIPATION
- MORE OPPORTUNITY FOR INTERFERENCE
- INCREASE RISK OF MACHINES BEING HACKED
- BALLOTS & EQUIPMENT ARE LEFT UNATTENDED
- MAJOR LOSS OF CONFIDENCE FROM CANDIDATES
- DEPRIVES PEOPLE OF THE ELECTION DAY EXPERIENCE
- CONVOLUTES AND COMPLICATES THE COUNTING PROCESS
- IMPOSSIBLE FOR OBSERVERS TO BE PRESENT ENTIRE TIME
- ELECTIONS OFFICE ENDS UP WORKING OUTSIDE OF PUBLIC VIEW



14 THE DANGEROUS JOURNEY OF THE MAIL IN BALLOT



THE ~~DIRTY~~ SIDE

FOR

EACH NEW ELECTION REQUIRES A NEW BALLOT DESIGN TO ENTER THE CORRECT CANDIDATES AND RACES. THIS IS SET UP BEFORE THE ELECTION BY THE COUNTY STAFF OR SOMETIMES BY THE MACHINE VENDORS.

THIS REQUIRES A NEW BALLOT DEFINITION FILE / ELECTION DEFINITION FILE SO THE TABULATORS KNOW WHO TO CREDIT THE VOTES FOR ACCORDING TO WHICH BUBBLE IS FILLED IN.

THE LOGIC AND ACCURACY TEST IS THE ONLY REASSURANCE THE PUBLIC HAS THAT ELECTION FILES HAVE BEEN PROPERLY PROGRAMMED BY WHOEVER IS RESPONSIBLE FOR THEM.

IF THESE ARE NOT SET UP CORRECTLY AND JUST RIGHT, THE SOFTWARE COULD READ VOTES AND COUNT THEM FOR THE WRONG CANDIDATES. SORT OF A BIG DEAL.

THERE IS NOT ENOUGH OVERSIGHT FOR THE ELECTION SETUP PROCESS, AND THESE FILES DO NOT HAVE AUDIT REQUIREMENTS. ALL WE HAVE TO RELY ON IS THE LOGIC AND ACCURACY TEST.

IF THERE IS AN ISSUE WITH THE LOGIC AND ACCURACY TEST, IT MUST BE CORRECTED BEFORE BALLOT PROCESSING BEGINS AND A NEW TEST SHOULD BE ADMINISTERED.

LOGIC AND ACCURACY TESTS

BOTH NECESSARY AND INADEQUATE

AGAINST



IF THE TEST RESULTS ARE PUBLIC KNOWLEDGE AHEAD OF TIME, HOW CAN WE BE SURE IT'S NOT PROGRAMMED TO SHOW THE SAME RESULTS EVERY TIME? DOES THE MACHINE KNOW THE RESULTS TOO?

THERE COULD BE A MINIMUM NUMBER OF BALLOTS THAT NEED TO BE SCANNED BEFORE A NEFARIOUS COUNTING PROGRAM KICKS ON WHICH WOULD NOT SHOW UP IN THE L&A TEST DUE TO SMALL TEST DECKS.

HASH TESTING SHOULD ALSO BE DONE IN CONJUNCTION WITH L&A TESTS TO MAKE SURE THE SOFTWARE HAS NOT CHANGED FROM THE CERTIFIED / APPROVED VERSION.

JUST BECAUSE IT ARRIVES AT THE EXPECTED ANSWER DOESN'T PROVE TO US THAT IT GOT THERE CORRECTLY. IT'S JUST SHOWING US THE RESULTS NOT HOW ANY OF IT WAS CALCULATED.

PRECINCTS COULD BE SET UP WITH INCORRECT BALLOT STYLES, WHICH IS NOT TESTED IN A L&A TEST. GREAT IF IT READS THE BALLOT STYLE BUT HOW DO WE KNOW THE CORRECT BALLOTS ARE ISSUED?

THE TEST DECK NEEDS TO BE CHECKED TO MAKE SURE THE BALLOTS BEING ISSUED ARE THE SAME BALLOTS BEING TESTED. TEST DECKS ARE SOMETIMES PRINTED SEPARATELY FROM THE BALLOTS.



PRECINCT COUNT

- LOCAL COUNTING
- SCANNED ONE AT A TIME
- ALERTS VOTER IF PROBLEM
- MANY LOCATIONS ACROSS COUNTY
- FEW REASONS TO ADJUDICATE
- PRECINCT SPECIFIC BALLOTS
- SCAN AND/OR COUNT ON SITE
- IN PERSON
- WITH YOUR NEIGHBORS
- CHAIN OF CUSTODY POSSIBLE
- MACHINE OR HAND MARKED

VS

CENTRAL COUNT

- COUNTYWIDE COUNT
- SCANNED IN BULK BATCHES
- RESOLVED LATER BY STAFF
- ONE SYSTEM USED AT COUNTY
- VERY HIGH ADJUDICATION
- ALL BALLOTS MIXED TOGETHER
- SENT TO ONE LOCATION TO COUNT
- REMOTELY
- USUALLY MAIL OR ABSENTEE
- CHAIN OF CUSTODY IMPOSSIBLE
- USUALLY HAND MARKED

EE

TIMING MARKS ON YOUR BALLOT



THE MARKS ON THE EDGES OF THE BALLOT ARE TIMING MARKS. THE SCANNER USES THEM TO MAP OUT THE BALLOT.

TIMING MARKS TURN THE BALLOT INTO A GRID. IT TELLS THE SOFTWARE WHERE TO LOOK FOR MARKS ON THE BALLOTS.

THE ELECTION PROGRAMMING, OR BDF, TELL THE SOFTWARE WHICH CANDIDATE TO CREDIT THE VOTE TO.

- THERE ARE SEVERAL WAYS THIS CAN GO WRONG:
- IF THE ELECTION PROGRAMMING IS INCORRECT, THE MACHINE COULD CREDIT THE VOTE TO THE WRONG CANDIDATE.
 - IF THE BALLOT IS MISPRINTED, A VOTER THINKS THEY ARE MARKING THE ONE THEY WANT BUT THE MACHINE CAN READ IT AS A VOTE FOR SOMEONE ELSE.
 - IF THE BALLOT GOES INTO THE SCANNER AT AN ANGLE, OR FOLDS ON ONE SIDE, THE MACHINE MAY CREDIT THE WRONG CANDIDATE.

HAND COUNTING THE BALLOTS WOULD ELIMINATE THESE RISKS.

IF THESE TWO BALLOTS ARE THE SAME BALLOT STYLE, IT WILL BE ONE VOTE DON AND ONE VOTE BARB

City/Town
Nonpartisan Office
City of Arlington
Mayor
Regular 4 year term - Vote for 1

Don Vanney

Barb Tolbert

Write-in

Write-in

City/Town
Nonpartisan Office
City of Arlington
Mayor
Regular 4 year term - Vote for 1

Don Vanney

Barb Tolbert

Write-in

THANK YOU TO KN FOR THE CONCEPT AND INSPIRATION




VOTER FRAUD VS **ELECTION FRAUD**

VOTER FRAUD	ELECTION FRAUD
BALLOT THEFT	PRINTER MAKES EXTRA BALLOTS FOR ILLEGAL PURPOSES
SUBMITTING INCORRECT REGISTRATION INFORMATION	INCORRECTLY ADJUDICATED BALLOTS
PERSON VOTES A BALLOT WHICH ISN'T THEIRS	BALLOTS ACCEPTED AFTER THE DEADLINE AND COUNTED
SUBMITTING MULTIPLE BALLOTS	MISSING OR ALTERED POST MARK FOR MAIL IN BALLOTS
REGISTERING TO VOTE WHILE INELIGIBLE	MACHINE ALGORITHM INCORRECTLY RECORDS VOTES
ALTERING ANOTHER PERSON'S BALLOT	BALLOTS ALTERED OR SWITCHED DURING TRANSPORT
FORGING SOMEONE'S SIGNATURE ON BALLOT DECLARATION	UNDELIVERABLE BALLOTS ARE VOTED
REQUESTING A REPLACEMENT BALLOT FOR SOMEONE ELSE	CERTIFYING AN INACCURATE ELECTION
REGISTERING SOMEONE ELSE TO VOTE	TAMPERING WITH EQUIPMENT OR BALLOTS
PARENT VOTES A BALLOT FOR THEIR CHILD AWAY AT COLLEGE	CHEATING ON THE POST ELECTION AUDIT
PERSON RETURNS A BALLOT FOR SOMEONE IN PRISON	SWITCHING THE AUDIT MATERIALS
REGISTERING AND/OR VOTING IN 2+ STATES	USING FAULTY TEST METHODS
VOTER SELLS THEIR BALLOT	PAYING FOR BALLOTS OR VOTES

FRAUD ALERT





WHAT IS A GHOST VOTER?

1 IF SOMEONE FINDS A BALLOT THAT IS NOT THEIR OWN, AND SUBMITS A VOTE ON THE BALLOT, THE VOTER THAT WAS ISSUED THE BALLOT IS A GHOST VOTER

DID YOU KNOW

A GHOST VOTER IS A PERSON (COULD BE A REAL LIVING PERSON OR NOT) THAT IS CREDITED A VOTE, BUT DID NOT SUBMIT A BALLOT

2 A PERSON WHO RESIDES AT A PSYCHIATRIC FACILITY AND SOMEONE IN THE MAIL ROOM DECIDES TO VOTE FOR THEM IS A GHOST VOTER

NOW YOU DO

3 A FICTICIOUS NAME ON THE REGISTERED VOTER LIST IS A GHOST VOTER

SASQUATCH IS A GHOST VOTER

THAT'S NOT ALL

4 A RELATIVE THAT PASSED AWAY BUT SUBMITTED A VOTE ACCORDING TO THE DATA IS A GHOST VOTER

THERE'S MORE

5 IF A VOTER CHANGES THEIR LAST NAME, AND BOTH NAMES ARE CREDITED A VOTE THAT IS A GHOST VOTER.

THE LIST KEEPS GOING

GOAL: 0 GHOST VOTERS!




Q1 LATE BALLOT ADJUDICATION

HOW AN ELECTION
CAN BE STOLEN
THROUGH ADJUDICATION
AND STILL PASS THE
POST ELECTION AUDIT

HINT: ITS ALL ABOUT THE TIMING

ACTUAL DATA OF ADJUDICATED BALLOTS IN KING COUNTY WA



1. WORK AS USUAL
LEADING UP TO
THE ELECTION

2. PROCESS VERY
FEW BALLOTS ON
ELECTION DAY

3. ANNOUNCE
ANY RESULTS
YOU WANT

4. COMPLETE
POST ELECTION
AUDIT ASAP

5. ADJUDICATE
YOUR HEART
OUT



22 AVOIDING TRANSPARENCY EXAMPLE

EXAMPLE OF HOW SOME COUNTY ELECTIONS OFFICES GO THE EXTRA MILE TO AVOID TRANSPARENCY

BELOW ARE SEVERAL ENTRIES FROM THE CLEAR BALLOT LOGS. THE BLUE LINES ARE ENTRIES FROM KING COUNTY AND THE WHITE LINES ARE FROM OTHER COUNTIES USING THE SAME SYSTEM.

THE ENTRIES IN RED FONT ARE THE PARTS THAT KING COUNTY REDACTED AND THE CORRESPONDING ENTRIES FROM AN UNREDACTED LOG BELOW IT.

IT IS UNCLEAR WHY KING COUNTY FINDS IT NECESSARY TO REDACT THIS INFORMATION. IT CLEARLY IS NOT INFORMATION THAT WOULD PUT THEIR SYSTEM AT RISK. REDACTIONS ARE NORMAL IN CERTAIN RECORDS. STATE LAW DOESN'T EXEMPT THIS INFORMATION SPECIFICALLY. IT'S POINTLESS.

THE COUNTY IS ALSO WASTING TIME AND RESOURCES TO REDACT THIS INFORMATION. SOME OTHER COUNTIES POST THE UNREDACTED LOGS ON THEIR WEBSITE FOR ANYONE TO SEE. IT APPEARS THIS COUNTY GOES OUT OF THEIR WAY TO HIDE THINGS WHICH POSE NO RISK IF DISCLOSED.

Blue rows are from King County Red letters are the redacted sections and what should be there.

Time	Source	Election	User	Machine	Severity	Message	URL	
8/1/23 11:39 AM	DeleteBox	August_20	Redacted	Redacted	info	DeleteBox: Box VBM-0913 deleted		
2020-10-28 08:55	DeleteBox_2020_GE		webadmin	ScanServer	info	DeleteBox: Box VBM-0002 deleted		
7/31/23 3:50 PM	Resolver T	August_20	Redacted	Redacted	info	VBM-0626+10137: Resolution was saved	Redacted	
2020-11-03 19:37	Resolver T_2020_GE		webadmin	192.168.15.21	info	VBM-0081+10183: Resolution was saved	/remakeballot/_2020_GENERAL_OFFICIAL/VBM-0081+10183	
7/24/23 2:10 PM	Tabulator	August_20	Redacted	Redacted	info	VBM-0019+10001-1.jpg: Probable target card		
2020-10-28 08:41	Tabulator_2020_GE		ScanStation	SCANSTATION01	info	VBM-0001+10001.jpg: Probable target card		
Time	Source	Election	User	Machine	Severity	Message	URL	Validated
2023-07-06 13:47	Linux			Redacted	warning	message repeated 7463 times: [Redacted]		
2022-07-12 12:55	Linux			ScanServer	warning	message repeated 1538 times: [pam_unix(samba:session): session closed for user nobody]		
2023-07-06 15:25	Linux			Redacted	warning	Redacted		
2022-07-12 16:11	Linux			ScanServer	warning	pam_unix(cron:session): session closed for user root		




THE POWER OF THE PRIMARY ELECTION

PRIMARY ELECTIONS ARE A MAJOR TARGET FOR ELECTION FRAUD. THIS IS THE IDEAL OPPORTUNITY FOR THE UNI-PARTY TO ELIMINATE ANY REAL THREATS OR CANCEL GRASS ROOTS CANDIDATES



THE PURPOSE OF THE PRIMARY IS FOR THE POLITICAL PARTIES TO SELECT THEIR BEST CANDIDATES TO RUN FOR OFFICE IN THE GENERAL ELECTION. IT'S A PRE-ELECTION TO NARROW DOWN THE OPTIONS.




A CLOSED PRIMARY IS RESTRICTED TO PEOPLE AFFILIATED WITH EACH PARTY. THIS ALLOWS EACH PARTY TO ELECT A CANDIDATE WITHOUT INFLUENCE FROM THE OPPOSING PARTY.



OPEN PRIMARIES ALLOW NON-AFFILIATED VOTERS TO PARTICIPATE. VOTERS CAN CROSS PARTY LINES AND STRATEGIZE TO INFLUENCE THE OPPOSING PARTY'S RESULTS. ALL BETS ARE OFF.

PRIMARY TURNOUT	GENERAL TURNOUT
44%	82%

PRIMARY ELECTIONS HAVE LOWER TURNOUT AND LESS SCRUTINY. IT'S EASIER TO INFLUENCE THE RESULTS. IF THE PRIMARY ELECTION CAN BE CONTROLLED, THEY CAN ENSURE THE "RIGHT" CANDIDATES ARE SELECTED FOR THE GENERAL.



SUCCESSFULLY SELECTING SWAMP CREATURES TO PROCEED TO THE GENERAL REDUCES THE NEED FOR THE UNI-PARTY TO CHEAT IN THE GENERAL ELECTION.

VOTER'S PARTICIPATION IN THE PRIMARY ELECTION IS ESSENTIAL TO OVERCOMING THE FRAUD, ALL REGISTERED VOTERS SHOULD PARTICIPATE IN THE PRIMARY, BECAUSE OF THE LOWER TURNOUT, YOUR VOTE HOLDS MORE WEIGHT THAN IT DOES IN THE GENERAL.



DON'T IGNORE THE PRIMARY

THE PURPOSE OF THE PRIMARY ELECTION IS TO SELECT THE BEST CANDIDATES TO MOVE ON TO THE GENERAL.



PRIMARY TURNOUT




US GENERAL TURNOUT



PRIMARY ELECTIONS HAVE A LOWER TURNOUT AND LESS SCRUTINY, SO IT IS EASIER TO INFLUENCE THE RESULTS.

IF YOU ARE TIRED OF HAVING ONLY UNI-PARTY CANDIDATES IN YOUR GENERAL ELECTION, THEN YOU NEED TO PARTICIPATE IN THE PRIMARY.



YOUR VOTE ACTUALLY HAS MORE IMPACT ON THE RESULTS IN A PRIMARY DUE TO THE LOWER TURNOUT. TAKE ADVANTAGE OF IT.



ELECTION FRAUD ROADMAP

BE PREPARED TO STOP **ROAD CLOSED AHEAD**

WHEN YOU BLOCK THEIR PATHWAY TO FRAUD, BE PREPARED FOR THEM TO REROUTE TO ANOTHER

(THIS IS NOT MEANT TO DISCOURAGE YOU. THIS IS A REMINDER THAT THEY ARE PERSISTENT AND THEY HAVE OPTIONS. BUT WE CAN DO THIS!)

IF YOU CLEAN THE VOTER ROLLS THEY WILL MANIPULATE THE MACHINES
IF YOU GET RID OF THE MACHINES, THEY WILL STUFF THE BALLOT BOX
IF THEY CAN'T STUFF THE BALLOT BOX, THEY WILL USE EXCESS ADJUDICATION
IF... (SEE WHERE I'M GOING WITH THIS?)


COMMUNICATE WITH OTHERS IN YOUR STATE TO MAKE SURE YOU HAVE EVERYTHING COVERED

DO NOT LEAVE ANY OPEN AVENUES FOR THEM TO CHEAT!


DEAD END **NO OUTLET** **UNDER CONSTRUCTION**

EE






27 THE MANIPULATION CYCLE OF ELECTION LAW



ACCESS GRANTED RUNBECK'S INFLUENCE IN OUR ELECTIONS



VULNERABILITIES CREATED AS A RESULT OF TRUSTING RUNBECK AND OTHER SIMILAR COMPANIES WITH TOO MUCH CONTROL OVER OUR ELECTIONS.



WHAT IS THE DIFFERENCE BETWEEN: ELECTION INTERFERENCE AND ELECTION FRAUD

INTERFERENCE

FRAUD

AN ACT
INTENDED
TO ALTER THE
COURSE OF AN
ELECTION THROUGH
PEOPLE'S PERCEPTION
OR INTERFERE WITH
A CANDIDATE'S
CAMPAIGN

CHARGING YOUR POLITICAL OPPONENT WITH
FAKE CRIMES HE NEVER COMMITTED

TELLING PEOPLE THAT SOMETHING ISN'T
TRUE WHEN IT IS (BIDEN LAPTOP)

MEDIA CONVINCING PEOPLE
IT'S POINTLESS TO VOTE

GAG ORDERS ON
POLITICAL OPPONENTS

SILENCING CITIZENS
ELECTION CONCERNS

HAVING YOUR DOJ ATTACK YOUR
POLITICAL OPPONENT NON-STOP

TYING UP YOUR POLITICAL OPPONENT IN
COURT DATES DURING CAMPAIGN SEASON

NOT HAVING ENOUGH SUPPLIES LIKE BALLOTS
WHILE CONDUCTING AN ELECTION

FLIPPING VOTES SO THEY NO LONGER
REFLECT THE CHOICES OF THE VOTER

SCANNING THE SAME BATCH OF
BALLOTS OVER AND OVER AGAIN

CLOSING POLLING LOCATIONS
EARLY IN CERTAIN AREAS

POLL BOOKS START
ADDING VOTERS

SABOTAGING THE MACHINES
IN CERTAIN DISTRICTS

TAMPERING WITH THE BALLOTS OR
THE MACHINES TO ALTER THE RESULTS

ACCEPTING BALLOTS AFTER THE DEADLINE
OR ANY BALLOTS SUBMITTED ILLEGALLY

MACHINES ARE MARKING THE WRONG
CANDIDATES OR TABULATOR COUNTING WRONG

AN ACT
WHICH
VIOLATES THE
LAW OR GROSS
MALPRACTICE WITH
THE INTENTION
OF ALTERING
THE ELECTION
RESULTS

IMPORTANT TO NOTE THAT BOTH ARE ILLEGAL



ELECTIONS ARE ALREADY ACCESSIBLE!

EVERYTHING DONE IN THE
NAME OF "ACCESSIBILITY"
HAS WEAKENED ELECTION
SECURITY AND INTEGRITY

AND WE ARE NOT TALKING
ABOUT ACCESSIBILITY FOR
THE DISABLED VOTERS

(WHO SHOULD BE OFFERED EXTRA OPTIONS)

NO EXCUSE ABSENTEE VOTING
MAIL IN VOTING

PREPAID POSTAGE FOR MAIL INS
VOTING BY EMAIL OR FAX
PRINT YOUR OWN BALLOT FROM HOME
AUTOMATIC REGISTRATION
AUTOMATIC RESTORATION OF VOTING RIGHTS

JAIL VOTING CENTERS

LEGALIZE BALLOT HARVESTING
IDENTIFICATION OR AUTHENTICATION NOT REQUIRED

BALLOT DROP BOXES

CURBSIDE VOTING

BALLOT NOT REQUIRED, NAPKIN WILL DO


MOBILE VOTING CENTERS

"NON-TRADITIONAL ADDRESSES" FOR HOMELESS


THE LIST GOES ON




31 ELECTION CONCERNS CENSORED BY AI



32 FIRST AMENDMENT DOESN'T APPLY TO ELECTION INFORMATION



A stage with red curtains and a top hat on a table. The top hat is on a small black table. The background is a red curtain with a vertical line down the center. There are some glowing yellow and orange sparkles around the hat. The text is in white on a dark background.

The Machine Recount Illusion in Washington State

A machine recount is required when the difference between the two candidates and choices meets BOTH of the following criteria:
Less than 2000 vote difference
& Less than 1/2 of 1% (005)


What happens in a Machine Recount:
Ballot images are used to review only the overvotes, undervotes, and write-ins. Once those are all accounted for, recount is complete.


If you thought they would put the ballots back through the machine, you are wrong.

If you thought they were going to recount the votes for candidates in the race, you are wrong.

If you thought they would check the accuracy by looking at the actual ballots, you are wrong.

Dont fall for the smoke and mirrors. Demand REAL recounts where they recount actual votes.





WHY THE DIRTY VOTER ROLLS ARE NECESSARY

*EVERY VOTE MUST HAVE A CORRESPONDING VOTER.
THE NUMBER OF VOTES IS LIMITED TO THE NUMBER OF VOTERS.
EXCESS REGISTERED VOTERS MEANS SURPLUS OF AVAILABLE VOTES.
SURPLUS IS USED TO OBTAIN DESIRED RESULTS.
ELIMINATE THE SURPLUS TO GET MORE ACCURATE RESULTS.*

(YOU WILL STILL HAVE TO DEAL WITH THE MACHINES, MAIL INS, AND BAD ACTORS)





10 REASONS PEOPLE CLAIM THERE IS NO ELECTION FRAUD

1. THEY WERE TOLD THERE WAS NO FRAUD SO THEY STICK WITH THAT STORY.
2. THEY DIDN'T LOOK FOR IT SO THEY OBVIOUSLY DIDN'T FIND IT.
3. THEY NEED OTHERS TO TELL THEM HOW TO THINK.
4. THEY ARE NAIVE AND GULLIBLE OR SIMPLY DON'T CARE.
5. THEY THINK THE GOVERNMENT LOOKS OUT FOR THEIR BEST INTEREST.
6. THEY LIKE THE RESULTS OF THE FRAUD, SO WHY WOULD THEY LOOK?
7. THEY WANT TO LIVE IN A BANANA REPUBLIC SO THEY IGNORE THE FRAUD.
8. THEY THINK THEY CAN VOTE THEMSELVES OUT OF THIS MESS DESPITE FRAUD.
9. THEY ARE BENEFITING FROM THE FRAUD SO THEY MUST DENY IT EXISTS.
10. THEY ARE AFRAID TO ACCEPT THE FACT THAT WE HAVE BEEN LIED TO.

**ELECTION
FRAUD**



Admitting Elections Are Broken is the First Step Towards Recovery

Many people are living in denial and choose to ignore election fraud. Let me explain something. Power is addictive. People will go to great lengths to gain more power or to keep their power. Like an addict, they will stop at nothing and do things outside of their character. Like cheat.

1.

Admit there is a problem

2.

Become part of the solution



If you are not part of the solution, you are part of the problem.



Which side of the battle do you want to fight for?

It only takes one bad actor to destroy the integrity of the election process.



How to respond to people in denial:

Denial: We picked up down ballot seats.

Reality: Fraud can target certain races.

Denial: Nobody has been arrested.

Reality: So that makes them innocent?

Denial: All the cases were thrown out.

Reality: On standing, not lack of proof.

Denial: It's discouraging to voters.

Reality: How is telling them the system is rigged more discouraging than voting for a losing candidate?

Denial: You are going to hurt turnout.

Reality: The cheat is weighted. The more people that vote the harder they must work to cheat. I aim to increase participation, not hurt it.

THANK YOU FOR LOOKING THROUGH THESE GRAPHICS. PLEASE KEEP IN MIND THAT LAWS AND PROCESSES VARY FROM STATE TO STATE. THERE MAY BE SOME THINGS THAT SLIGHTLY VARY WHERE YOU ARE THAN WHAT SHOWS ON THESE GRAPHICS.

IF YOU HAVE A NEED FOR A GRAPHIC TO SHARE WITH SOMEONE OR A GROUP OF PEOPLE, FEEL FREE TO REACH OUT TO ME.

YOU CAN ALSO FOLLOW ALONG WITH NEW GRAPHICS I CREATE ON THE TELEGRAM CHANNEL CALLED "ELECTION EDUCATION" (LINK ON FIRST PAGE) AND THE RUMBLE CHANNEL ON THE BOTTOM OF THE THIRD PAGE.

I APPRECIATE YOUR SUPPORT, BUT MORE IMPORTANTLY, I APPRECIATE THAT YOU ARE INTERESTED IN LEARNING HOW WE CAN HAVE MORE SECURE AND MORE TRANSPARENT ELECTIONS ACROSS THE COUNTRY.

IF WE DON'T HAVE HONEST ELECTIONS, WE ARE NOT TRULY A FREE COUNTRY.

THANKS AGAIN,

ERICA

